

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,824 03/18/2004		Yoichiro Tarui	403009	5906	
23548 75	590 06/27/2005	EXAMINER			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			KESHAVAN, BELUR V		
SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			2823		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					H·I		
••		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/802,8	24	TARUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Belur V. k		2823			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. '37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the stat tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty rill expire SIX (6) MONTI blication to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>11 Mav 2005</i> .					
2a)□)⊠ This action is r	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 3-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 March 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	I is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s) the correction is require	be held in abeyand ed if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Ap ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Staç	ge		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ot (s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Ser No(s)/Mail Date 03/18/2004.			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	?)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 4 and 8 contain a subject matter wherein the same mask is formed from a material that equals SiC in terms of range in ion implantation. The material(s) that equals SiC in terms of range in ion implantation is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 3, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yuichi et al. (Patent Abstracts Of Japan, Publication Number 11-274173), herein after Yuichi.

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Yuichi discloses in figure 2(a, b and c) a method of manufacturing a vertical MOSFET SiC semiconductor device (`1, 2) wherein a source region (4) and a base region (3) are formed by ion implantation using the same tapered SiO₂ mask (20) which has ion implantation range greater than that of SiC.

Regarding claims 6, 10, 11 and 12, Yuichi discloses in figure 2 (a) wherein ion implantation into SiC is done through tapered mask, which provide both perpendicular and oblique implantation into SiC that result ion implantation angle with respect to substrate smaller in the base region than the source region of the vertical MOSFET.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2823

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuichi.

Regarding claim 5 Yuichi anticipates claim 3 as above but lacks the tapered angle of the same mask at an angle of 20° or more and 45° or less. However, Yuichi discloses in claim 2, that the tapered angle of the same mask can be varied. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to experiment the required angle of the taper of the mask and arrive at the tapered angle of the same mask at an angle of 20° or more and 45° or less.

Regarding claim 9, Yuichi anticipates claim 6 and 3 as above. Yuichi lacks ion implantation angle 75°. However, varying the angle of implantation with

respect to substrate to place the ions at a required location to achieve the required profile is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to set angle of ion implantation at 70° to achieve the required profile.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belur V. Keshavan whose telephone number is 571-272-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,824

Art Unit: 2823

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BVK. W

June 23, 2005.

W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER